

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

August 14, 2013

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7010 2780 0002 7254 0760

Kevin Butters CE Butter's Realty and Construction 760 North Highway 89 Harrisville, Utah 84404

Subject: Proposed Assessment for State Cessation Order No. MC-2013-17-03, CE Butter's Reality and

Construction Company, UnPermitted, S/005/0004, Cache County, Utah

Response Due By: 30 Days of Receipt

Dear Mr. Butters:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the assessment officer for assessing penalties under R647-7.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced cessation order. The cessation order was issued by Division inspector, Lynn Kunzler on July 29, 2013. Rule R647-7-103 et. seq. has been utilized to determine the proposed penalty of \$3,300.00. The enclosed worksheet outlines how the civil penalty was assessed.

By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Cessation Order has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of this penalty.

Under R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available to you. You may appeal the 'fact of the violation', the proposed civil penalty, or both. If you wish to informally appeal you should file a written request for an informal conference within thirty 30 days of receipt of this letter.

The informal conference will be conducted by a Division-appointed conference officer. The informal conference for the fact of the violation is distinct from the informal assessment conference regarding the proposed penalty. If you wish to review both the fact of the violation and proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an assessment conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. In this case, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following the review of the fact of the violation.

Page 2 of 2 Kevin Butters S/005/0004 August 14, 2013

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the violation will stand, the proposed penalty will become final, and will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the date of this proposed assessment (by September 16, 2013). Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Sheri Sasaki.

Wagne H Wester

Wayne H. Western Assessment Officer

WHW: eb

Enclosure: Proposed assessment worksheet

cc: Sheri Sasaki, Accounting Vickie Southwick, Exec. Sec.

P:\GROUPS\MINERALS\WP\M005-Cache\S050004-Butters - Scare Canyon\Non-compliance\MC-2013-17-03\Assessment-5569-08132013.doc

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING

Minerals Regulatory Program

NOV / CO	#: MC-20	013-17-03	PERMIT	:S/005/0004		
COMPANY	Y/MINE	CE Butter's Rea	alty and Construction			
		TEAugust 13. ICER _ Wayne H. V				
I. HIS	Are the	<u>ΓΟRY</u> (Max. 25 pts.) (R647–7-103.2.11) Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall three (3) years of today's date?				
		VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS (1pt for NOV 5pts for CO)		
	None					
			TOTAL HISTO	DRY POINTS 0		
II. SEF	NOTE: 1. 2.	Based on facts supplied each category where the Beginning at the mid-po up or down, utilizing th	s in Parts II and III, the followin by the inspector, the Assessment e violation falls. bint of the category, the Assessment e inspector=s and operator=s standaministrative (B) violation	nent Officer will determine within the officer will adjust the points tements as guiding documents.		
	1. activities	Activity outside the without appropriate	lax 45 pts.) hich the violated standard v approved permit area, Dan approvals, Environmental	nage to property,		
reclamation	v/revegeta. 2.	what is the probabi standard was design PROBABIL None Unlikely Likely Occurred		RANGE		

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

These events occurred because the Operator did not have a permit.

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 20

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage: ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS (RANGE 0-25) 17 In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment. PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: The Operator did not store topsoil and there is a significant oil spill on site. There is no bond so the Division has no financial resources to reclaim the site. B. ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS (Max 25pts) Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation. ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 37 III. **DEGREE OF FAULT** (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13) IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE. Point Range No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation which was 0 unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care?) Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the 1-15 occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care?) Greater Degree of Fault (was this a failure to abate any 16-30 violation or was economic gain realized by the permittee? STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Negligence

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: Even though the Operator claims he was doing road construction, the Operator knew the material was similar to other materials which the Operator is currently mining.

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 8

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures, or violations not abated at the time of assessment)

Has Violation Been Abated? No - good faith points cannot be awarded at this

time.

A. EASY ABATEMENT (The operator had onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area.)

	Point Range
Immediate Compliance	-11 to -20
(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)	
Rapid Compliance	-1 to -10
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or. Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	

B. DIFFICULT ABATEMENT (The operator did not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or the submission of plans was required prior to physical activity to achieve compliance.)

	Point Range -11 to -20
Rapid Compliance	-11 to -20
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	-1 to -10
(Operator complied within the abatement period)	
Extended Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay	
within the limits of the violation, or the plan submitted	
for abatement was incomplete.)	

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT?

	ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS	0	
PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POI			

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)

I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	37
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	8
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	0
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	45
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$ 2 86bbc

TOTAL ASSESSED FINE \$ 2,86bbc 0.00